



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/527,516	03/16/2000	Hirohiko Nakazato	9976-8US (OB0019US)	2106	
570	590 11/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	STRAUSS HAUER	TRAN, DOUGLAS Q			
	RCE SQUARE T STREET, SUITE 220	0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013		2624		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

;	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/527,516	NAKAZATO, HIROHIKO	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas Q. Tran	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a n places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See Mi R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of unt of the fee. The appropriate priginally set in the final Office a	PEP extension extension action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifyir	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amend	dment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newl	У
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>6-14 and 18</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. ☐ Other:	GABRIEL GARCIA PRIMARY EXAMINER		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments do not overcome the rejections because the cited prior art fully discloses the claimed invention. The comparison is addressed from the claim 15 without any of conditions. The limitations from claims do not address that the comparison an image data size of the computer with an image data size of the printer or the comparison a certain size of free memory of the computer with the size of free memory of the printer. The limitation of "the comparison" is merely meaning the checking or monitoring the status of both of the computer and the printer in which the image data is stored the memory from the computer is ready for printing and the free memory from the printer is ready for receiving the print data.

Therefore, Lee clearly teaches a printer driver selecting section (i.e., control unit 10 in fig. 1, col. 5, lines 18-23) which performs a comparison on the basis of at least an image data size, a free memory size of the computer and a free memory size of the printer, and selects on of the plurality of printer drivers based on a result of the comparison (it is noted that said computer comparing an environmentof said computer "an operational state of the computer" and an environment of said printer "an operational state of the printer" to select one of said printer drivers based on a result of the comparison "col. 5, lines 18-23 describes that the control unit 10 of the computer 80 includes a printer driver calling device 215 for searching and choosing one of the printer drivers corresponding to control signals from control unit 10 generated after control unit 10 determines the operational state of the computer 80 and the operational state of the printer 50". It is understood that the comparison would be understood that it means for the checking of any environment in the computer and any environment in the printer how to be suitable in order for the print job is prepared by the selected printer driver. Therefore, an environment "or an operational state" of the computer and an environment "or an operational state" of the printer are determined as a normal operating "col. 5, lines 50-52". The normal operating would be considered as suitable operating or matching operating between the computer and the printer so that the print job is prepared for printing; and col. 5, lines 25-32 describes that the control unit 10 receives information about the state of computer and printer 50 such as a storage device overflow error or a band error. It should be understood that the capacity of the storage device or the band is related to the size of the image data from the computer. Thus, at least an image data size, a free memory size of the computer and a free memory size of the printer is checked and the second printer driver is selected based on that environment).

Lee clearly teaches a data transfer speed determining section which determines a data transfer speed when image data is transferred from the computer to the printer (col. 5, lines 14-16 and col. 5, lines 59-66: the computer inherently comprises a component corresponding to a data transfer speed determining section for determining a data transfer speed)..